

Summary:

The constative issue of eugenics is one fraught with both peril and promise. Can the association with Hitler be put aside long enough to consider this issue without the onerous specter of the Holocaust and the efforts to create an Aryan race? If it can, then perhaps the traditional idea of eugenics must be reframed. We need a "Neo-Eugenics" that espouses pre-conception solutions, rather than post-conception ones.

From Genocide to Neo-Eugenics

Copyright 1994-2013 Kelli Jae Baeli

Publisher: Indie Literati Press

ISBN: 9781476203966

License Notes

The right of Kelli Jae Baeli to be identified as author of this Work has been asserted by her in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, copied in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise transmitted without written permission from the publisher. You must not circulate this book in any format

Created in the United States of America
Where we can freely create and share things.

From Genocide to Neo-Eugenics

Kelli Jae Baeli



The constative issue of eugenics is one fraught with both peril and promise. Can the association with Hitler be put aside long enough to consider this issue without the onerous specter of the Holocaust and the efforts to create an Aryan race? If it can, then perhaps the traditional idea of eugenics must be reframed. We need a "Neo-Eugenics" that espouses pre-conception solutions, rather than post-conception ones.

Provided this Neo-Eugenics was the paradigm, then one must notice the fundamental difference between Nazi eugenics and Neo-Eugenics: Hitler wished to destroy those he deemed unworthy, who already were living human beings; Neo-Eugenics hopes to start from scratch, so that future generations will be smarter, healthier, more capable. Is there really that much wrong with wanting fewer stupid people in the world? Isn't ignorance the main reason for the ills of the world? If we are to head toward any type of utopian society, let it be one in which the grand majority is capable of functioning at a higher level. The fear that everyone will be beautiful, or clone-like is silly. Parents still want their children to look like them, and not everyone agrees on what beauty is. And further, if everyone is beautiful, then there won't be such a discrepancy in class. Looks will no longer be as material as it once was. How is this not a good thing, as well?

If the purpose of evolution is to improve a species, and the species has improved substantially enough to find a way to further improve the species at a higher rate of speed, then have we not reached the same goal, only in a shorter amount of time? Let us begin moving into the future with a better version of ourselves; a version that understands difficult concepts, philosophy, mathematics, the nature of the universe and our place in it. What is the horror in that?

Obviously, the measurement of "innate hereditary tendencies" is not accomplished by asking an immigrant to quote baseball statistics (Kitcher, 507). In the case of intelligence tests, if we can encourage the evolution of higher IQ, then we will be smart enough to understand that intelligence is measured in many different ways, and individuals cannot be singled out as "less intelligent" because they are not as keen in math as they are in English, or in philosophy as they are in science. Renovating the human being begins with the fostering of intelligence in all its forms. Intelligence then insures understanding; understanding can then maintain characteristics like compassion, discernment, and logic.

It is crucial that we are intelligent enough to know how to assess intelligence properly. In the case of *Buck vs. Bell*,* the label of imbecile was applied to this family during an era when the scientific community's understanding of intelligence was still very much in its infancy. In modern day, we understand so much more. I

think we are far more capable of making an accurate assessment of intelligence, and there are many other instances when imbecility is glaringly obvious and proven with methods that are empirically sound. In those cases, I would have to agree with Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes when he said, "Three generations of imbeciles are enough." Although Kitcher regarded this statement as "one of the most chilling lines in the history of Supreme Court decisions," if uttered in the right context, and with enough evidence, I regard the statement as discerning and astute (Kitcher, 508).

=====

*"Carrie Buck is a feeble minded white woman who was committed to the State Colony above mentioned in due form. She is the daughter of a feeble minded mother in the same institution, and the mother of an illegitimate feeble minded child. She was eighteen years old at the time of the trial of her case in the Circuit Court, in the latter part of 1924. An Act of Virginia, approved March 20, 1924, recites that the health of the patient and the welfare of society may be promoted in certain cases by the sterilization of mental defectives, under careful safeguard, &c.; that the sterilization may be effected in males by vasectomy and in females by salpingectomy, without serious pain or substantial danger to life; that the Commonwealth is supporting in various institutions many defective persons who, if now discharged, would become [p206] a menace, but, if incapable of procreating, might be discharged with safety and become self-supporting with benefit to themselves and to society, and that experience has shown that heredity plays an important part in the transmission of insanity, imbecility, &c. The statute then enacts that, whenever the superintendent of certain institutions, including the above-named State Colony, shall be of opinion that it is for the best interests of the patients and of society that an inmate under his care should be sexually sterilized, he may have the operation performed upon any patient afflicted with hereditary forms of insanity, imbecility, &c., on complying with the very careful provisions by which the act protects the patients from possible abuse." [Supreme Court, Buck v. Bell.](#)

=====

What have we noticed about the perpetuation of ignorance? Racism and discrimination issues aside, those who are not educated, for whatever reason, make stupid choices, believe implausible, puerile things, and then pass this on to their children, who repeat the process until our communities are overrun with the desperate and the criminal, shackled with the savagery of violence and decay. I am not against the individual, I'm against any system that will not allow the individual to become educated, and any individual who is smart enough to know education is necessary and available, but will not pursue it. These are issues of economics and politics, not of Neo-Eugenics.

One fear with eugenics might be that while this process is getting a foot-hold, those who are in the current or previous generations, might be forgotten or left behind, somehow relegated to the fringes, or even abused in some way. This need not be the case if the thinkers involved in implementation are careful to include and enforce certain parameters, or exemptions. No law is perfect, no life situation-at least these days- is entirely rooted in equality. The axiom, "life isn't fair" comes to mind, but need not be the case, as we would be entering the era of eugenics with prescience.

What would we, as practitioners of human eugenics, aspire to? Eugenics, if used for developing the mind, along with positive, helpful traits, and not merely representations of physical beauty, is not Hitleresque. It is important to realize that

we can often overlook the benefits of an idea due to its association with a perceived negative. Likewise, we can overlook the negatives if it serves us sufficiently. The cogent fact is, much of our current medical knowledge is based on the horrible experimentation of the doctors and scientists inside Hitler's Germany. Yet, we benefit from these discoveries, however repulsive their antecedents might be.

The accusation of "designing children" only to "discard them into a ruthlessly planned world that has lost its humanity" (Kitcher, 504) does not hold up under scrutiny. Why would we "discard" them? In darker examples of pseudo-eugenics, this discarding came after the person was born, not before. And if it is to come before, it will more than not be because there is some defect that will make the life of the child miserable, his care prohibitively expensive. Sadly, most severely challenged individuals don't grow up to be Stephen Hawking.

Adapting to a disability, while admirable, should not be our goal in Neo-Eugenics. Why is it somehow more noble to be disabled, or mentally challenged? From a spiritual or character standpoint, we might care for these people, respect their rights-yet we are in no way obligated to become them. We might respect a person for playing the cards that are dealt, but that in no way indicates that all of us should aspire to having the same hand. If we can then eliminate most of that luck-of-the-draw aspect through Neo-Eugenics, then there will be no need for that sort of adaptation. The law of natural selection, then, would again be reserved for the strongest among us, and those persons would be our leaders, our innovators, our politicians, our doctors, our teachers. Those among us who would be slightly less brilliant, would still be involved, but on some other level. We would essentially be raising the bar, and that's a stick that desperately needs some elevation.

The disabled mantra, "Who are you to decide if I should live?" (Kitcher, 506) forgets they already do live, and will not be killed after the implementation of Neo-eugenics. It is the first step in an evolutionary process which, ironically, involves the sufficiently evolved human who recognizes ethics, but can usher in giant leaps of evolutionary progress by creating healthier humans with higher intelligence. My contention is that these genetic decisions be made based on the health of the zygote, not how pretty its face might be. The Nazi agenda of creating a pure Aryan race was based on erroneous belief it was possible, since there is no such thing as "pure" after eons of blending the human species. Thus, uppermost in the Neo-Eugenics agenda would be evolution of what exists, not eradication of what doesn't.

Kitcher asks, "Where will they stop?" They will stop where we decide and mandate them to stop. That's the function of law, regulation, and a moral compass. While there will be those who step over this line, this would not be a new phenomenon. Other laws are broken on a regular basis, yet we don't discontinue lawmaking nor the effort to uphold the laws. There will always be a percentage of the population who break the rules. The point is, that we have the rules, and we educate ourselves on why those rules are important.

Indeed, if we have a society in which parents can choose to procreate the natural way, or through genetic manipulation, yet they know that their child will

have to get by in a world of superior humans, why would they choose the natural way? What is so appealing about a roulette wheel of biology, that humans would take the chance if they didn't have to? A parent who has the option of insuring the health of their child, and doesn't, before some genetic nightmare kills it shortly after birth, is as guilty as religious zealots who won't accept medical intervention, but rather watches their child suffer and die for some "idea" they have about nature, God, and the nature of God.

The worries about tampering, post-conception (Rifkin, 520), should lead us to solutions. We must accept that some people will abort fetuses for what we would consider inappropriate reasons, just as they do now. But with better selections and species improvement, there is a greater chance that those decisions will be less and less morally corrupt, as we become more and more advanced in mental, and spiritual development.

The larger portion of underlying animosity and passion in this matter, goes to the issue of abortion, which is more about where we believe life begins. Add the element of spirituality to the mix and it also becomes about the soul, and how it makes us real. How can we know when the collection of cells possesses a soul? Until we understand what defines "human" and "seed of human" the debate will rage on. Most humans know that eggs are the embryos of future chickens, yet we eat them. Perhaps because, on some intuitive level, we don't really believe they are chickens. We believe they are the stuff of life, but not yet life. Neo-Eugenics, however, is not about the taking of life, any more than eating an egg is.

Joel Hawes once said, "Aim at the sun, and you may not reach it; but your arrow will fly far higher than if aimed at an object on a level with yourself."

Works Cited

[Supreme Court, Buck v. Bell.](#)

Kitcher, Philip. "Inescapable Eugenics" [The Lives to Come: The Genetic Revolution and Human Possibilities](#). New York: Touchstone Books, 1996.

Kitcher, Philip.

Rifkin, Jeremy "A Eugenic Civilization." [The Biotech Century](#). New York: Penguin Putnam, 1998.



Books by Kelli Jae Baeli



AKA INVESTIGATIONS SERIES

Also Known as Armchair Detective {1}

Also Known as DNA {2}

Also Known as Syzygy {3}

Also Known as Rising & Falling {4}

Also Known as Sleepy Cat Peak {5}

Also Known as Blue, Dark & Bright {6}

RAIN FALLS SERIES

Rain Falls {1}

In Absentia {2}

Pariahs & Prodigals {3}

NEW HARBOR WITCHES SERIES

Keepers {1}

Ravens {2}

NORTHWOODS TRILOGY

Throwing Caution {1}

Building Character {2}

SHAMROCK COVE SERIES

Run the Risk (#1)

NOVELS

Achilles Forjan

Another Justice

As You Were

Baggage

Plethora

Pitfall

Go. Leave. Stay.

(with Melissa Walker-Baeli)

NOVELLAS

Saturation Point

Somewhere Else

Quintessence

Random Act of Blindness

Curse of Cache La Poudre

Powerful Things

FICTION ANTHOLOGIES

Trustplaces

(Erotica for Women Who Love Women)

Speed of Dark

(Bold Erotic Stories)

Flash Fiction (Vol. 1)

NONFICTION

God on a Stick {Vol. 1}

(Cosmology of God& Jesus)

God on a Stick {Vol. 2}

(Cosmology of the Bible)

God on a Stick {Vol. 3}

(Cosmology of Christianity)

God on a Stick {Vol. 4}

(Cosmology of the Dark Side)

God on a Stick {Vol. 5}:

(Cosmology of Science)

God on a Stick {Vol. 6}

(Cosmology of Atheism)

NONFICTION ANTHOLOGIES

Nerve

(Selected & Neglected Poetry)

Venetian Blinds

(Because I Couldn't Effing Think of Another Effing Title)

Face Down in the Low Road

(Essays, Articles, & Rebuttals)

Bettered by a Dead Crustacean

(Humorous Essays)

Diction Déjà vu

(Reading Writing & No Arithmetic, vol. 1)

The Truth of Fiction

(Reading Writing & No Arithmetic, vol. 2)

Literary Loitering

(Reading Writing & No Arithmetic, vol. 3)

Sullied Pajamas

(Misadventures in the Dating Pool)

Immortality or Something Like It

(Essays)

Too Much World

(Essays)

Brainmatter: Tidbits from my Cranium

(Essays)

Wear a Helmet

(Inflammatory Essays)

Strictly Academic
(Essays)
Crossing Paths
(Essays)

ON TAP FOR 2017-18
{Untitled, Book 2, Cross Pollination Series}
Synaptic Circus: In the Marrow
(Quips and Quotes from a Bestselling
Genre-Hopping Indie Author)
After Words
(Thoughts on Each of my Novels)
{Untitled, Book 3 in Northwoods Trilogy}
Rain Falls series, Book 4
AKA Investigations series, Book 7
New Harbor Witches series, Book 3

WITH MELISSA WALKER
Life Everywhere
(memoir of our travels)
Frequent Crier Miles
(The Misadventures of No One Famous/

(
A Comedy of Errors)
Cruise Control
(Romantic Family Dramey
for the over-50 Crowd)
Things to Save America
(Essays from each of us
on ideas for the betterment of society)

REWRITES
Falling Through the Cracks
ISO - In Search Of
(Dating, Relationships & Sex for the Discerning Lesbian)

OTHER WORKS IN PROGRESS
Behind the Left
(Authoring the Apocalypse)
Noble Arcanum
(Sequel to Powerful Things)
The Girls in the Band
(Novella)
Circling Uranus
(Short spoofy Sci-fi story)
Go to kellijaebaeli.com

About the Author

Even after 57 books, Kelli Jae Baeli always tries to write the sort of book she would want to read. She says her favorite thing to do in her novels is take a common trope and turn it on its ear. Where you expect a zig, she gives you a zag. In her pages, you will find strong female characters, ethical dilemmas, realistic romantic storylines often filled with adventure and intense pacing, tempered by witty dialog, and happy endings. All are hallmarks of her work.

Indie publisher and author of 29 bestsellers, Baeli enjoys a sales position in the top 5% of lesbian authors, also penning numerous essays, short stories and silly, serious and vitriolic Facebook posts and Twitter tweets. She and her wife, Melissa, a budding author in her own right, are on their way to a memoir-worthy *Life Everywhere*— traipsing around America in their travel trailer for a year, taking pictures and enjoying all the beautiful things life has to offer.